

VSP Public Comment

From: kb@herndons.net
Sent: Sunday, June 26, 2005 8:16 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

Dear Sirs/ Madams,

Diebold should be held accountable for developing and using a voter verifiable and recountfriendly system to preserve voter intent in our state. The proposed thermal paper system would be difficult, expensive and tedious to use in the case of a recount. The voting system must also be hacker proof. A voting system that's wireless with internet access to be is an open invitation to hacking.

If Diebold can't develop a voter system that is recountfriendly and secure, than California needs to get bids from other companies who can meet these important requirements.

Thank you in advance for considering my concerns about the proposed voting system by Diebold.

Respectfully,
Karin Herndon

Mrs. Karin Herndon
236 Cherry Ave.
Los Altos, CA 94022

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.

